



# Memorandum

**To: POTENTIAL OFFERORS**

**Date: September 25, 2017**

**Re: RESPONSES TO WRITTEN QUESTIONS SUBMITTED  
FOR SCHEDULE I: INFRASTRUCTURE ASSESSMENT; AND  
SCHEDULE II VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT RFP**

---

On September 21, 2017 we received a list of four (4) questions. Below you will find the detailed questions and MRGCD responses.

1. Is there a maximum page count requirement for the proposal?

**RESPONSE:**

- a. **For Schedule I Infrastructure Assessment Proposal is limited to a maximum of 10 pages, plus all the mandatory forms.**
  - b. **For Schedule II Vulnerability Assessment Proposal(s) is also limited to a maximum of 10 pages, plus the mandatory form.**
2. In the Schedule II Summary of Services, it says that: "This Vulnerability Assessment (VA) will result in a report that will review the District's historical water supply, past droughts, and their impacts on water supply; define drought conditions; quantify water use trends across all sectors; identify and evaluate the financial and environmental impacts or drought."

The idea of sectors is not clarified or mentioned again in Schedule II. What is meant by "across all sectors"?

**RESPONSE:**

**The summary of "Water use trends across all sectors" is intended to encapsulate the trends of all water consumed in the study area. This can be broken down into the categories: municipal, agricultural, and environmental. The summary of water use trends should identify the trends for each sector and also account for the causes of each of those trends**

3. In the same sentence quoted above, there is a mention of "environmental impacts of drought". This is also not clarified or mentioned again in Schedule II. What sort of

environmental impacts are of interest, and where does this analysis fit into the specified area.

**RESPONSE:**

*The contractor will be expected to include the environmental impacts in task 7 of schedule II, Risk and Opportunity inventory. The MRGCD will be looking to the contractor to consider and identify the environmental impacts of drought in the study area. Under risks the MRGCD has identified one environmental impact that we would specifically like to be evaluated by the contractor, increased sedimentation due to catastrophic wildfire. Another consideration that the contractor should be cognizant of in this risk inventory is that the MRGCD has obligations under a 2016 Biological Opinion that requires a certain density of Rio Grande Silvery Minnow in the Middle Rio Grande. The impacts that drought may have on those fish populations should be a consideration that the contractor makes under Task 7.*

4. Would it be possible to start the work for this RFP later than indicated on the RFP?

**RESPONSE:**

**Work can be started at a date later than indicated in the RFP, but the project "must" be completed by August 15, 2018**